

**Memo Date:** March 27, 2007  
**Hearing Date:** April 17, 2007



---

**TO:** Board of County Commissioners

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Works Dept./Land Management Division

**PRESENTED BY:** BILL VANVACTOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR  
KENT HOWE, PLANNING DIRECTOR

**AGENDA ITEM TITLE:** In the Matter of Considering a Ballot Measure 37 Claim and Deciding Whether to Modify, Remove or Not Apply Restrictive Land Use Regulations in Lieu of Providing Just Compensation (PA06-7167, Hunt)

---

**BACKGROUND**

**Applicant:** Vern E. Hunt

**Current Owner:** Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt

**Agent:** None

**Map and Tax lot(s):** 19-03-16 #1500

**Acreage:** Approximately 42 acres

**Current Zoning:** F2 (Impacted Forest)

**Date Property Acquired:** April 27, 1967 (B&SD #8633707)

**Date claim submitted:** November 30, 2006

**180-day deadline:** May 29, 2007

**Land Use Regulations in Effect at Date of Acquisition:** Unzoned;

**Restrictive County land use regulation:** Minimum parcel size of eighty acres and limitations on new dwellings in the F2 (Impacted Forest) zone (LC 16.211).

**ANALYSIS**

To have a valid claim against Lane County under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770, the applicant must prove:

**1. Lane County has enacted or enforced a restrictive land use regulation since the owner acquired the property, and**

The current owners of the subject property are Vern E. and Betty J. Hunt. Vern and Betty Hunt acquired an interest in the property on April 27, 1967 (B&SD #8633707). On that date, the property was unzoned. Currently, the property is zoned F2 (Impacted Forest).

**2. The restrictive land use regulation has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, and**

The minimum lot size and limitations on new dwellings in the F2 zone prevent the current owners from developing the property as could have been allowed when they acquired it.

The applicants allege a reduction in the fair market value of their property in the amount of \$729,894 and have submitted comparable sales information in support of that claim. In previous Measure 37 deliberations the County Commissioners have accepted comparable sales information as competent evidence of valuation. Because of this, the County Administrator has waived the requirement for an appraisal.

**3. The restrictive land use regulation is not an exempt regulation as defined in LC 2.710.**

The minimum lot size and restrictions on new dwellings in the F2 zone do not appear to be exempt regulations.

**CONCLUSION**

It appears this is a valid claim.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The County Administrator recommends the Board adopt the attached order to waive the restrictive land use regulations of the F2 zone.

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY,  
OREGON**

**ORDER No.** ) IN THE MATTER OF CONSIDERING A BALLOT  
                  ) MEASURE 37 CLAIM AND DECIDING  
                  ) WHETHER TO MODIFY, REMOVE OR NOT  
                  ) APPLY RESTRICTIVE LAND USE  
                  ) REGULATIONS IN LIEU OF PROVIDING JUST  
                  ) COMPENSATION (Hunt, PA06-7167)

**WHEREAS**, the voters of the State of Oregon passed Ballot Measure 37 on November 2, 2004, which added provisions to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 197 to require, under certain circumstances, payment to landowner if a government land use regulation restricts the use of private real property and has the effect of reducing the property value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of County Commissioners of Lane County enacted Ordinance No. 18-04 on December 1, 2004, to establish a real property compensation claim application process in LC 2.700 through 2.770 for Ballot Measure 37 claims; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Administrator has reviewed an application for a Measure 37 claim submitted by Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt, the owner of real property described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map19-03-16, tax lot 1500, consisting of approximately 42 acres in Lane County, Oregon; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Administrator has determined that the application appears to meet all of the criteria of LC 2.740(1)(a)-(d), appears to be eligible for just compensation and appears to require modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulations in lieu of payment of just compensation and has referred the application to the Board for public hearing and confirmation that the application qualifies for further action under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Administrator has determined under LC 2.740(4) that modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulation is necessary to avoid owner entitlement to just compensation under Ballot Measure 37 and made that recommendation to the Board; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board has reviewed the evidence and confirmed the application appears to qualify for compensation under Measure 37 but Lane County has not appropriated funds for compensation for Measure 37 claims and has no funds available for this purpose; and

**WHEREAS**, on April 17, 2007, the Board conducted a public hearing on the Measure 37 claim (PA06-7167) of Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt and has now determined that the restrictive F2 (Impacted Forest) zone dwelling and land division requirements of LC 16.211 were enforced and made applicable to prevent Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt from developing their property as might have been allowed at the time it was acquired on April 27, 1967, and that the public benefit from application of the current F2 dwelling and division land use regulations to the applicants' property is outweighed by the public burden of paying just compensation; and

**WHEREAS**, Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt request up to \$729,894 as compensation for the reduction in value of their property, or waiver of all land use regulations that would restrict the division of land into multiple lots and placement of a dwelling on each lot, uses that could have otherwise been allowed on the date they acquired the property; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board finds that under LC 2.760(3) the public interest would be better served by modifying, removing or not applying the challenged land use regulations of the F2 zone to the subject property in the manner and for the reasons stated in the report and recommendation of the County Administrator incorporated here by this reference except as explicitly revised here to reflect Board deliberation and action to allow, Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt to make application for development of the subject property in a manner similar to what they could have been able to do under the regulations in effect when they acquired an interest in the property; and

**WHEREAS**, this matter having been fully considered by the Lane County Board of Commissioners.

**NOW, THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the applicants, Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt, made a valid claim under Ballot Measure 37 by describing the use being sought, identifying the county land use regulations prohibiting that use, submitting evidence that those land use regulations have the effect of reducing the value of the property, showing evidence that they acquired an interest in the property before the restrictive county land use regulations were enacted or enforced and the Board hereby elects not to pay just compensation but in lieu of payment, the request of Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt shall be granted and the restrictive provisions of LC 16.211 that limit the development of dwellings and the division of land in the F2 (Impacted Forest) Zone shall not apply to Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt so they can make application for approval to develop the property located approximately 1.5 miles west of Creswell, OR and more specifically described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map19-03-16, tax lot 1500, in a manner consistent with the land use regulations in effect when they acquired the property on April 27, 1967.

**IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED** Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt still need to make application and receive approval of any division of the property or placement of a dwelling under the other land use regulations applicable to dividing the property or placing a dwelling that were not specifically identified or established by Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt as restricting the division of the property or placement of a dwelling, and it would be premature to not apply those regulations given the available evidence. To the extent necessary to effectuate the Board action to not apply the dwelling or division restrictions of the applicable zone described above, the claimant shall submit appropriate applications for review and approval of a new dwelling to show the specific development proposals and in the event additional county land use regulations result in a restriction of those uses that have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, the County Administrator shall have the authority to determine those restrictive county land use regulations that will not apply to that development proposal to preclude entitlement to just compensation under Measure 37, and return to the Board for action, if necessary. All other Lane Code land use and development regulations shall remain applicable to the subject property until such time as they are shown to be restrictive and that those restrictions reduce the fair market value of the subject property.


**IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED** that this action making certain Lane Code provisions inapplicable to use of the property by Vern E. Hunt and Betty J. Hunt not constitute a waiver or modification of state land use regulations and does not authorize immediate division of the subject property or immediate construction of a dwelling. The requirements of state law may contain specific standards regulating development of the subject property and the applicants should contact the Department of Administrative Services (DAS - State Services Division, Risk Management - Measure 37 Unit, 1225 Ferry Street SE, U160, Salem, OR 97301-4292; Telephone: (503) 373-7475; website address: <http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/Risk/M37.shtml> ) and have the State of Oregon evaluate a Measure 37 claim and provide evidence of final state action before seeking county land use approval.

**IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED** that the other county land use regulations and rules that still apply to the property require that land use, sanitation and building permits be approved by Lane County before any development can proceed. Notice of this decision shall be recorded in the county deed records. This order shall be effective and in effect as described in LC 2.770 and Ballot Measure 37 to the extent permitted by law. This order does not resolve several questions about the effect and application of Measure 37, including the question of whether the right of applicant to divide or build dwellings can be transferred to another owner. If the ruling of the Marion County Circuit Court in *MacPherson v. Dept. of Administrative Services*, (Marion County Circ. Ct. Case No. 00C15769, October 14, 2005) or any other court decision involving Ballot Measure 37 becomes final and that decision or any subsequent court decision has application to Lane County in a manner that affects the authority of this Board to grant relief under Ballot Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770 then the validity and effectiveness of this Order shall be governed by LC 2.770 and the ruling of the court.

**DATED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Faye Stewart, Chair  
Lane County Board of County Commissioners

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Date 4-9-2007 Lane County  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL